

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/727,431	12/04/2003	Chryssoula Kyriazidou	BP2177CON	6874
34399	7590 08/24/20	05	EXAMINER	
GARLICK HARRISON & MARKISON LLP			NGUYEN, TUYEN T	
P.O. BOX 160727 AUSTIN, TX 78716-0727		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
, - -	- · - · - ·		2832	

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/727,431	KYRIAZIDOU, CHRYSSOULA		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		TUYEN T. NGUYEN	2832		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
THE - External - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sistons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutively received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 A	August 2005.			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,5 and 6</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>2-4</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	•			
Applicati	ion Papers				
10)🖾	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 December 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	are: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage		
Attachmen	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	r (PTO-413)		
2) Notice 3) Information	the of Neierlenees Cited (P10-032) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 tr No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D			

Application/Control Number: 10/727,431 Page 2

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the plurality of thicknesses with at least some of the plurality of metal layers have a grater thickness of the plurality of thicknesses than other metal layers of the plurality of metal layers must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 5, applicant should clarify the structure/arrangement of the plurality of thicknesses. Applicant should clarify what is intended by "at least some of the plurality of metal layers have a grater thickness of the plurality of thicknesses than other metal layers of the plurality of metal layers."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 5-6, as best understood in view of the rejection under 35 USC 112 second paragraph, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yang et al. [US 6,801,114] in view of Sakata [US 2001/0017582 A1].

Yang et al. discloses an on-chip transformer [figures 2A-5] comprising:

- a plurality of metal layers of an integrated circuit;
- first and second nodes [figure 2A] on a first layer of the plurality of metal layers;
- a multi-layer winding [figures 4-5] on at least some of the plurality of metal layers, wherein the multi-layer winding coupled to the first and second nodes and symmetrical with respect to the first and second nodes; and

- center tap [62] coupled to the multi-layer winding such that the multi-layer winding is

symmetrical about the center tap with respect to the first and second nodes.

Yang et al. inherently discloses the instant claimed invention except for the metallization

of the winding on each of the at least some of the plurality of metal layers is in approximate

range of twenty to eighty percent.

Sakata discloses an inductor comprising a plurality of conductor patterns on main

surfaces of a plurality of layers having a projected area in the range of 35% to 75% [abstract].

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skilled in the art at the time the

invention was made to use the conductor pattern design of Sakata in Yang et al. for the purpose

reducing DC resistance.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in

view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to TUYEN T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-1996.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:30.

Art Unit: 2832

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ELVIN ENAD can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TTN 11N

Tuyen T. Ngayen